## Peralta Community College District Annual Program Update 2013-2014

## DISTRICT-WIDE DATA by Subject/Discipline Fall Semesters

| Ι. | Overview            |   |  |   |
|----|---------------------|---|--|---|
|    | BI Download:        | 10/23/2013 17:41  | Dept. Chair:   | Siri Brown  |
|    | Subject/Discipline: | AFRAM   | Dean:  | Dr. Stacy Thompson  |
|    | Campus: Merritt     |   |  |   |
|    | Mission Statement   | The Mission of the Department of<br>for students to deepen their under<br>cultural contributions to World his<br>specifically. African American St<br>gender and class are examined in<br>locate the experiences of African<br>identity, culture, sociology, histor | erstanding of the<br>story generally an<br>udies is inherentl<br>n all areas of soc<br>people in a large | African Centered historical and<br>d the American experience<br>y interdisciplinary as race,<br>iety. In addition, the aim is to<br>er global context in terms of |

| II. Enrollment        |         |          |       |         |          |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|
|                       | Alameda | Berkeley | Laney | Merritt | District |
| Census Enrollment F10 | 236     | 44       | 761   | 612     | 1653     |
| Census Enrollment F11 | 218     | 0        | 613   | 670     | 1501     |
| Census Enrollment F12 | 276     | 40       | 499   | 703     | 1518     |
| Sections F10          | 4       | 1        | 14    | 12      | 31       |
| Sections F11          | 4       | 0        | 12    | 11      | 27       |
| Sections F12          | 5       | 1        | 10    | 13      | 29       |
| Total FTES F10        | 23.6    | 4.4      | 76.46 | 61.41   | 165.87   |
| Total FTES F11        | 21.8    | 0        | 61.3  | 67      | 150.1    |
| Total FTES F12        | 27.6    | 3.74     | 49.9  | 70.34   | 151.58   |
| Total FTEF F10        | 0.8     | 0.2      | 3.25  | 2.41    | 6.66     |
| Total FTEF F11        | 0.8     | 0        | 2.6   | 2.2     | 5.6      |
| Total FTEF F12        | 1       | 0.2      | 2     | 2.61    | 5.81     |
| FTES/FTEF F10         | 29.5    | 22       | 23.52 | 25.53   | 24.9054  |
| FTES/FTEF F11         | 27.25   | 0        | 23.58 | 30.45   | 26.8     |
| FTES/FTEF F12         | 27.6    | 18.7     | 24.95 | 27      | 26.0895  |

| II. Student Success |         |          |       |         |          |
|---------------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|
|                     | Alameda | Berkeley | Laney | Merritt | District |
| Total Graded F10    | 225     | 33       | 711   | 575     | 1544     |
| Total Graded F11    | 208     | 0        | 579   | 640     | 1427     |
| Total Graded F12    | 267     | 40       | 485   | 688     | 1480     |
| Success F10         | 137     | 27       | 366   | 373     | 903      |
| Success F11         | 124     | 0        | 374   | 418     | 916      |
| Success F12         | 156     | 18       | 308   | 431     | 913      |
| % Success F10       | 0.61    | 0.82     | 0.51  | 0.65    | 0.58     |
| % Success F11       | 0.6     | 0        | 0.65  | 0.65    | 0.64     |
| % Success F12       | 0.58    | 0.45     | 0.64  | 0.63    | 0.62     |
| Withdraw F10        | 21      | 5        | 89    | 50      | 165      |
| Withdraw F11        | 32      | 0        | 62    | 51      | 145      |
| Withdraw F12        | 65      | 10       | 80    | 116     | 271      |
| % Withdraw F10      | 0.09    | 0.15     | 0.13  | 0.09    | 0.11     |
| % Withdraw F11      | 0.15    | 0        | 0.11  | 0.08    | 0.1      |
| % Withdraw F12      | 0.24    | 0.25     | 0.16  | 0.17    | 0.18     |

| IV. Faculty            |         |          |       |         |          |
|------------------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|
|                        | Alameda | Berkeley | Laney | Merritt | District |
| Contract FTEF F10      | 0.8     | 0.2      | 0.8   | 0.67    | 2.47     |
| Contract FTEF F11      | 0.8     | 0        | 0.7   | 0.87    | 2.37     |
| Contract FTEF F12      | 1       | 0        | 0.3   | 0.62    | 1.92     |
| TEMP FTEF F10          | 0       | 0        | 2.38  | 1       | 3.38     |
| TEMP FTEF F11          | 0       | 0        | 1.6   | 1       | 2.6      |
| TEMP FTEF F12          | 0       | 0.2      | 1.4   | 1.41    | 3.01     |
| Extra Service FTEF F10 | 0       | 0        | 0.07  | 0.74    | 0.81     |
| Extra Service FTEF F11 | 0       | 0        | 0.3   | 0.33    | 0.63     |
| Extra Service FTEF F12 | 0       | 0        | 0.3   | 0.58    | 0.88     |
| Total FTEF F10         | 0.8     | 0.2      | 3.25  | 2.41    | 6.66     |
| Total FTEF F11         | 0.8     | 0        | 2.6   | 2.2     | 5.6      |
| Total FTEF F12         | 1       | 0.2      | 2     | 2.61    | 5.81     |
| % Contract/Total F10   | 1       | 1        | 0.25  | 0.28    | 0.3709   |
| % Contract/Total F11   | 1       | 0        | 0.27  | 0.4     | 0.423    |
| % Contract/Total F12   | 1       | 0        | 0.15  | 0.24    | 0.3305   |

| ۷. | V. Qualitative Assessments  |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
|    | <b>CTE and Vocational</b> : Community and labor market<br>relevance. Present evidence of community need<br>based on Advisory Committee input, industry need<br>data, McIntyre Environmental Scan, McKinsey<br>Economic Report, licensure and job placement rates,<br>etc. |   |  |
|    | Transfer and Basic Skills: Describe how your course offerings address transfer, basic skills, and program completion.   | Afram courses are popular options for the<br>Social Sciences CSU/UC/AA categories and<br>especially category 5 diverstiy requirements.<br>The majority of our students are basic skills<br>students although our courses are transfer level<br>courses thus our department has participated in<br>any initiative for learning comunities, embedded<br>tutors and has requested a specialized tutor to<br>be used in multiple courses. We continue to<br>seek embedded tutors as we believe it will aid<br>our success rate. |  |

| VI. | Course SLOs and Assessment   |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
|     |  | Fall 2013                                    |  |  |  |
|     | Number of active courses in your discipline  | 24   |  |  |  |
|     | Number with SLOs   | 24   |  |  |  |
|     | % SLOs/Active Courses  | 100%   |  |  |  |
|     | Number of courses with SLOs that have been assessed  | 13 of 13                                     |  |  |  |
|     |  | These are the courses in<br>current rotation |  |  |  |
|     | % Assessed/SLOs  | 100%   |  |  |  |
|     | Describe types of assessment methods you are using<br>Essay exams, term papers, student presentations, student journals, group pr<br>learning  | esentations, service                         |  |  |  |
|     | Describe results of your SLO assessment progress<br>Results have been strong in that the majority of students pass the assessed assignment with 75% or<br>higher grade. However, the results have assisted faculty in understanding the need to increased use of<br>rubrics, clarity of instructions for assignments, and stacking term paper due dates. |  |  |  |  |
|     | Describe how assessment results and reflection on those results have led to improvements.<br>Students receive more clearly defined instructions and assistance before final papers are due.  |  |  |  |  |

| VII. | . Program Learning Outcomes and Assessment  |   |  |
|------|---|---|--|
|      |   | Fall 2013   |  |
|      | Number of degrees and certificates in your discipline   | 1 AA degree in Afram  |  |
|      | Number with Program Learning Outcomes   | 1   |  |
|      | Number assessed   | 1 indirect method<br>utilized but program<br>assessment is in<br>process. Direct<br>method will be used<br>spring, 2014 |  |
|      | % Assessed  | 0% complete   |  |
|      | Describe assessment methods you are using<br>During the spring, 2013 term we utilized a student survey administered to stu<br>have taken 3 or more Afram courses at Merritt. We choose this method beca<br>several of our courses but few students actually major in Afram. The outcom<br>survey was on African Centered Analysis and Pan Africanism.<br>Next term we intend to use more direct methods including examining the wor<br>taken 3 or more classes, those who are majors, and holding small group asse<br>students. | ause several students take<br>e we assessed with this<br>k of students who have   |  |
|      | Describe results of assessment. Describe how assessment of program-level led to certificate/degree program improvements.  | student learning outcomes   |  |
|      | TBD. The indiect method indicates that student are understanding our key th can apply them to work in and outside our classrooms  | eoretical frameworks and  |  |

| /III. Strategic Planning Goals  |   |
|---|---|
| Check all that apply.<br>X Advance Student Access, Success & Equity<br>X Engage our Communities & Partners<br>X Build Programs of Distinction<br>X Create a Culture of Innovation & Collaboration<br>X Develop Resources to Advance & Sustain Mission | Describe how goal applies to your program.<br>Afram has been in a continual growth process.<br>Productivity was 12 six to eight years ago and<br>is now over 30. We engage in consistent and<br>successful study abroad programs, we have<br>hired a second FT faculty, we won a Fulbright<br>grant, we partner with Juvenile Hall, 100 Black<br>Men Community School, The Oscar Grant<br>Foundation and Ile Omode independent school<br>where our students do service learning, and our<br>Africana center opens this spring. We serve as<br>a key aspect of the colleges commitment to<br>diversity, and strive to be a program committed<br>to the community and one of distinction. |

## IX. College Strategic Plan Relevance

Check all that apply

New program under development

X Program that is integral to your college's overall strategy

X Program that is essential for transfer

Program that serves a community niche

**X** Programs where student enrollment or success has been demonstrably affected by extraordinary external factors, such as barriers due to housing, employment, childcare etc.

X Other : Program of historic importance and is noted in various historical literature related to the Black Studies Movement and its origins at Merritt College

## X. Action Plan

Please describe your plan for responding to the above data (quantitative, qualitative, and data specifically from course and program learning outcomes assessment). Consider curriculum, pedagogy/instructional, scheduling, and marketing strategies. Also, please reference any cross district collaboration with the same discipline at other Peralta colleges.

Include overall plans/goals and specific action steps.

- Our main goal was to hire a second FT faculty to establish another permanent presence on campus and one who would support student learning and success. Now that this is completed our aim is to have 2-4 embedded tutors in our classes. Embedded tutors have been shown in the literature and on our campus to increase student success. Afram classes can be very big 50-70 students per class and thus these is a need to offer more support for students. The success data above needs to improve and since we teach predominantly African American, disenfranchised students many who have basic skill level reading and writing skills we believe embedded tutors can aid this.
- Our Africana Center will be built into each course offered in our department including those taught by adjunct faculty. Students will be required to spend hours in our lab and do assignments related to the modules in the center. We believe this will add to the variety of learning styles and interest level in the curriculum. The next step for the center is to research and write a certificate for social science or public history where students engage in research processes around family histories and history of African Americans in Oakland.
- We schedule our classes in collaboration with Laney and COA's Afram department especially for the summer term. We also share adjunct when needed.
- We have a rotation schedule for our courses but there are several active courses that we want to include in that rotation over time. Over the past few terms we have introduced new courses including the Black Panter Party, The Prison Industrial Complex, African American Writers of Non-Fiction and Africans in latin America. We do this to increase the interest in our program and as a means of having current students return.
- We hope to formalize our Juvenile Hall program as it is proving to be popular and effective. Our first student in JH who was transferred to San Quentin and then released came directly to Merritt and is performing very well. To date we have had over 20 incarcerated students enroll. We are seeking funding to formalize the program.

We aim to develop a program for student athletes in coordination with the athletic program and counselor. Many student-athletes take our courses and are in need of support.

| XI.   | Needs  |
|---|--|
|   | Please describe and prioritize any faculty, classified, and student assistant needs.     |
| Our need include 2-4 embedded tutors for student support in and outside the classeoom. In addition, need a 20 plus hours per week classified position to run the Africana Center. |  |
|   | Please describe and prioritize any <b>equipment, material, and supply</b> needs.<br>None |
|   | None   |
|   | Please describe and prioritize any facilities needs.                                     |

| II.A.1   |  |
|--|--|
| Number of program learning outcomes.   | 7  |
| Number of program learning outcomes.   | 7  |
| How many of your program outcomes have been  |  |
| mapped to institutional outcomes?  | 7  |
| mapped to institutional outcomes.  | 1  |
| How is your program assessing its program  |  |
| outcomes? ("capstone" course, exam, clinicals,   | Student surveys, student work, and student                                 |
| etc.)  | focus groups   |
| II.A.1.a.  |  |
| How is program assessment information  | NA we are in the process of assessing our                                  |
| incorporated into program planning and resource  |  |
| requests? Please provide specific examples or  | program  |
| actions taken.   |  |
| II.A.1.b.  | +  |
| What changes to delivery systems and modes of  | The results indicated that we needed more                                  |
| instruction have been made as a result of your   | rubrics, and more clearly defined  |
| assessment?  | instructions for student assignments                                       |
|  | instructions for student assignments                                       |
| How many of your course outcomes have been   | NA   |
| How many of your course outcomes have been<br>mapped to program outcomes? (If applicable.) | INA  |
| mapped to program outcomes? (in applicable.)   | All course and program SLOs comply with                                    |
| Are course SLOs specific, measurable attainable  | All course and program SLOs comply with                                    |
| Are course SLOs specific, measurable, attainable, results-oriented, and time based?        | guidelines and were reviewed and approved<br>by the SLO coordinator        |
| results-oriented, and time based?  | by the SLO coordinator   |
| II.A.1.c.  |  |
| How have you linked course SLO assessment to   | We have not seen the need to do this.                                      |
| planning and resource requests? Please provide   | we have not seen the need to do this.                                      |
| specific examples or actions taken.  |  |
| II.A.2.a   |  |
| Is the three year cycle for assessment of student  | Yes our course cycle is current but our                                    |
| learning outcomes for courses and programs   | program assessment is not. We are actively                                 |
| current? If not, what is your plan for adjustment?   | engaged in both however and have a plan to                                 |
| current? If not, what is your plan for adjustment?   | complete our program outcome assessment.                                   |
| II.A.2.b   | complete our program outcome assessment.                                   |
| How is the criteria for success (not met, met,   | Our criteria for success is based on student                               |
| exceeded) of student learning outcomes   |  |
| established? (individual decision, common  | grades with the majority of students receiving a $C$ grade (75%) or higher |
| rubrics, department discussion, etc.)  | receiving a C grade (75%) Or higher  |
| ruones, acparament discussion, etc.)   | meaning that we met or exceeded the criteria.                              |
| II.A.2.d   |  |
|  | Torm papara group projects student   |
| Describe the multiple ways in which student  | Term papers, group projects, student                                       |
| learning is assessed.  | journals, essay exams, service learning                                    |

| II.A.3  |  |
|---|--|
| How do your courses demonstrate student         | Afram assists with ILO achievement in the    |
| achievement of institutional learning outcomes? | areas of critical thinking, global awareness |
|   | and respect for diversity                    |

11/4/2013 jes